

Serial No. 09/871,323
July 7, 2003
Page 6

REMARKS

Claims 21-40 remain in this application. Claims 1-20 have been cancelled. Claims 21-40 have been added.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a) as being anticipated by Henty or unpatentable over Henty in view of Mazzone or Koch. In order to expedite allowance, the rejected claims are being cancelled herein, without disclaimer and without prejudice. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that these rejections are now moot.

Claims 21-40 have been added to clarify certain features of the subject matter being claimed. The limitations in these new claims are not disclosed or suggested in Henty, Mazzone, or Koch (whether alone or in combination).

For example, the three references do not disclose or suggest a keyboard including a plurality of keys, a single plate coupled to each of the plurality of keys, and a single coil coupled to the plate. The coil converts mechanical energy generated by pressing motion on any one of the plurality of keys into electrical energy. Specifically, the pressing motion on any of the plurality of keys causes the single plate to compress the single coil and the compressing of the coil produces the electrical energy.

In addition, the references do not disclose a mouse having a ball, a wheel coupled with the ball, a shaft coupled to the wheel, and a dynamo coupled to the shaft. The dynamo converts the mechanical energy generated by rolling motion of the ball into electrical energy. In one embodiment, the mouse also comprises a light source and the wheel comprises a plurality of slots allowing the light source to shine through the plurality of slots. The light source can be electrically powered by the dynamo.

Moreover, there is no motivation to combine Henty with Mazzone or Koch to teach the features defined in the present claims. For example, the "wheel proximate said ball" mouse disclosed in Mazzone is provided solely for better positioning of a cursor on a display screen. Thus, Mazzone addresses a completely different problem

LA2:673004.1

Serial No. 09/871,323

July 7, 2003

Page 7

of better positioning a cursor on the display screen using a light mouse ball rather than the problem of generating electrical power for a peripheral device (e.g., a cordless mouse). Accordingly, there is no motivation to combine Henty with Mazzone to teach the features defined in the present claims and missing in Henty.

Koch is directed to a conversion method for a turbine (e.g., a large turbine driven by a fluid including air) in the wind power industry (e.g., the utility company) and not a conversion method for supporting a peripheral device (e.g., a cordless peripheral device) in the computer peripheral industry. Thus, Koch is directed to completely non-analogous art and it is not reasonable to expect a person skilled in the art seeking to solve a problem in the computer peripheral industry would look to a conversion method in the wind power industry. See MPEP § 2141.01(a).

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 21-40 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested, and a timely Notice of Allowability is solicited. To the extent it would be helpful to placing this application in condition for allowance, the Applicant encourages the Examiner to contact the undersigned counsel and conduct a telephonic interview.

LA2:673004.1

Serial No. 09/871,323
July 7, 2003
Page 8

While the Applicant believes that no fees are due in connection with the filing of this paper, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any shortage in the fees, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 50-0639.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: July 7, 2003

Brian M. Berliner
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 34,549

O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
Telephone: (213) 430-6000

LA2:873004.1